

## MARTA Reach Cost Assessment

February 23, 2023

Planning & Capital Programs Committee

**MARTA Board of Directors** 



## Agenda

#### **1. Service Overview**

#### **2. Optimizing Service**

How can we best balance service levels and ridership?

#### **3. Assessing Costs & Staffing Models**

• How much does on-demand cost?

#### 4. Putting it all together

- Recommendations for on-demand transit at MARTA
- Hand-off to NextGen Bus Project



**MARTA Reach vehicle** 

### Reach Background

CAll Trains

arta

iVillage

EXIT HERE

Overview of the Reach service



## **Pilot Overview**

- Shuttles connected "virtual stops" to nearby fixed-route hubs
  - All trips were required to start and end within the zone (unlike Uber/Lyft)
  - If the origin & final destination was within the zone, we would complete the trip directly
  - If the final destination was outside of the zone, we would connect to fixed route service to get to final destination
- Pilot operated 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM, Monday Friday
- Standard \$2.50 fare with transfers included, passes accepted
- Pilot service limited to four specific zones. Only trips within these zones were allowed



## **Contextualizing the Pilot**





The findings of the **Reach** pilot are intended to be an input into the Bus Network **Redesign**.



## **Agencies with Microtransit**

#### Local

- Ride Gwinnett (Future)
- Livable Buckhead (the Buc)
- Valdosta (On-Demand)
- Hall County (We Go)

#### National

- LA Metro
- King County Metro
- Utah Transit Authority (UTA)
- Metro St. Louis
- Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART)
- CapMetro
- METRO (Houston, TX)
- +MANY more



# Optimizing Service

GENCY EXIT

How could we have delivered more efficient service, given the ridership we observed?



## **Optimization Methodology**

- 1. We partnered with **3 private sector mobility on-demand technology providers** for this service optimization exercise.
- 2. We also worked with Georgia Tech to create a **baseline for the service optimization**.
- 3. We provided all 3 providers with ridership data (origins and destinations) from **August 31, 2022** (highest ridership day of the pilot).
- 4. Based on this data, each provider used their simulation engines to determine **1) vehicle requirements, 2) expected service impacts** (i.e., wait times, travel times, ride-sharing).



## What's possible, with on-demand?

- The goal of this exercise was to explore what **might be possible**, given different models of on-demand transit available in the market.
- **Vendor 1:** Pre-booking, trip-snapping (encourages the sharing of trips by limiting drop-off time periods)
- Vendor 2: Flexible operations models
- Vendor 3: Has a focus on minimizing the number of dedicated vehicles and brokering trips to TNCs.



#### **Vendor 1 Findings**

Vendor 1 estimates being able to cover ridership seen in Reach pilot (in all zones) with 5 vehicles (compared to 16 in pilot service). Below are simulation results for West Atlanta zone.

| Rides Served                 | 100%  | Consider additional vehicles<br>above 100 riders/day                    |  |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Sharing Rate                 | 60%   | 6 Effective ride pooling  |  |
| Passengers per vehicle hour  | 5   | Efficient ride grouping   |  |
| On-Demand Wait<br>Time       | <b>10 – 15</b><br><b>mins</b> <i>Quick and timely rides</i> |   |  |
| Average On-Board<br>Duration | 8 mins  | Comparable to direct  |  |
| On-Time<br>Performance       | 95%   | Consistently Reliable. Expect<br>higher OTP with prebooking<br>enabled. |  |

Bobby Jones Golf Course K Nickajack Park UNDERWOOD Bucknet 80 75 Piedmont (78) 285 Atlanta Industrial Park NS-Inman Yard LORING 78 BLANDTOWN Petro Travel Ceror Westside Park HO (280 on County Westside irport -Brown 0 Reservoir Park Id (FTY) ROVE PARK Trap Music Museum (70) World of ADAMSVILLE Mercedes-Benz Stadiu MLK Jr Dr NW The Bando Vestview Cemetery Inc. T 荫 The Mall West End Lionel Hampton-Beecher WEST END Hills Park Walmart Supercenter PITT - Mays & SW Danforth Rd SW Avon Ave SW Cascade 90 Cascade Springs Nature Preserve Dill Ave SW Rd St T 29 Venetian Dr SV Perkerson Park FORT **MCPHERSON** 41 SYLVAN HILLS Sample Demand Profile Used in West Atlanta Simulation

at wooles will benter y



#### **Vendor 2 Findings**

Vendor 2 estimates being able to cover ridership seen in Reach pilot (in all zones) with 6-8 vehicles (compared to 16 in pilot service). Below are simulation results for W. Atlanta zone.

| Rides Served                 | 100%                                       | Consider additional vehicles<br>above 100 riders/day |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sharing Rate                 | <b>15-20%</b> <i>Moderate ride pooling</i> |  |  |
| Passengers per vehicle hour  | 3  | Moderate group riding                                |  |
| On-Demand Wait<br>Time       | 7-9<br>mins                                | Quick and timely rides                               |  |
| Average On-Board<br>Duration | 6-8<br>mins                                | Comparable to direct                                 |  |
| On-Time<br>Performance       | 95%  | Consistently Reliable.                               |  |





#### **Vendor 3 Findings**

Vendor 3 estimates being able to cover ridership seen in Reach pilot (in all zones) with 2-3 vehicles (compared to 16 in pilot service). Below are simulation results for all Reach ridership.

| Rides Served                 | <b>79%</b>   | Consider additional vehicles to increase served trips     |  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Sharing Rate                 | 66%  | Effective ride pooling                                    |  |
| Passenger per vehicle hour   | 4.8  | Efficient ride grouping                                   |  |
| On-Demand Wait<br>Time       | <b>19 – 22</b><br><b>mins</b> Additional vehicles may<br>decrease wait time to with<br>advertised waiting period |   |  |
| Average On-Board<br>Duration | 10 mins  | Comparable to direct                                      |  |
| On-Time<br>Performance       | 85%  | OTP could be improve with an additional vehicle allocated |  |



Sample Demand Profile Used in West Atlanta Simulation



#### **Georgia Tech Baseline**

MARTA worked with Georgia Tech to establish a baseline for how to optimize the Reach service. The Georgia Tech team estimates that the Reach service can be served with 4-5 vehicles in total.

| Rides Served                 | 100%                                     | Consider additional vehicles to increase served trips                                |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sharing Rate                 | <b>45%</b> <i>Effective ride pooling</i> |  |  |
| Passenger per vehicle hour   | 3-4                                      | Efficient ride grouping  |  |
| On-Demand Wait<br>Time       | 15<br>mins                               | Additional vehicles may<br>decrease wait time to within<br>advertised waiting period |  |
| Average On-Board<br>Duration | 5 mins                                   | Comparable to direct   |  |
| On-Time<br>Performance       | 85%                                      | OTP could be improve with an additional vehicle allocated                            |  |



Sample Demand Profile Used in West Atlanta Simulation



## **Optimization Take-a-ways**

- 1. The pilot service over allocated resources given observed ridership levels.
- 2. The advertised wait-times (15-20 minutes) are achievable with **far fewer resources** (and therefore lower costs).
- 3. Potential to **expand service** offering (e.g., days & hours of service) while maintaining **similar costs** to pilot service.
- 4. Service is scalable increases in ridership and number of zones can be met with increases in vehicles.
- 5. Impact of changes to fixed route bus service were not tested during the Reach pilot.
- 6. There is opportunity to further optimize the service by allowing prebooking and commingling ADA and non-ADA trips.

## We'll pick you up and connect you to a MARTA station or stop p Costs & Staffing Models

marta 🚺

each

Exploring the cost impacts of on-demand transit

marta



## **Reminder: Staffing Assessment**

• To understand the potential future of on-demand service at MARTA, we evaluated two potential future scenarios.



#### **Scenario B**

Fully MARTA Supported Model

MARTA provides vehicles and is responsible for all operations and maintenance.



## **Costs Analysis Assumptions**

- Given that decisions regarding the future of on-demand service are dependent on the outcomes of the NextGen Bus Project, several assumptions were incorporated into this cost analysis:
  - Ridership levels were assumed to be the same as the final day of the pilot (August 31, 2022)
  - Service hours were assumed to be the same as the pilot service (Monday – Friday, 6:00am – 7:00pm)
    - One estimate was collected assuming broader service hours (Monday Sunday, 4:00am – 1:00am)
- The costs on the next page are for the service ran during the pilot. Any future on-demand service at MARTA would have different costs depending on the number of zones and vehicles.



## **Costs (Year 1)**

|          | Scenario A <sup>1</sup><br>Contractor Supported Model |                 | Scenario B <sup>1</sup><br>MARTA Supported Model |  |  |
|----------|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Vendor   | Software  | Turnkey         | Software   | MARTA O&M  |  |
| Vendor 1 | Included  | \$1.41M         | \$94,000   | \$1M<br>(Operations)<br>\$1M<br>(vehicles/maintenance) |  |
|          | Total: \$1.4M   |                 | Total: \$2.1M                                    |  |  |
| Vendor 2 | Included  | \$1.1M – \$1.9M | \$78,000   | \$1M<br>(Operations)<br>\$1M<br>(vehicles/maintenance) |  |
|          | Total: ~1.5M  |                 | Total: \$2.1M                                    |  |  |
| Vendor 3 |   |                 | \$77,000   | \$1M<br>(Operations)<br>\$1M<br>(vehicles/maintenance) |  |
|          |   |                 | Total: \$2.1M                                    |  |  |

The costs are for the service ran during the pilot. Any future ondemand service at MARTA would have different costs depending on the number of zones and vehicles.

February 2023

<sup>1</sup>Costs assume 7 vehicles.



|                                   | Scenario A <sup>1</sup><br>Contractor Supported Model |                                   | Scenario B <sup>1</sup><br>MARTA Supported Model |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Vendor                            | Software  | Turnkey                           | Software   | MARTA O&M                                       |
| Vendor 1                          | Included  | \$1.45M (Y2)<br>\$1.50M (Y3)      | \$64k (Y2)<br>\$67k (Y3)                         | \$1M<br>(Operations)<br>\$300k<br>(Maintenance) |
| Total: \$1.45M (Y2), \$1.50M (Y3) |   | Total: \$1.36M (Y2), \$1.37M (Y3) |  |   |
| Vandar O                          |   |                                   |  |   |
| Vendor 2                          |   |                                   |  |   |
| Vendor 3                          |   |                                   | \$67k (Y2)                                       | \$1M<br>(Operations)<br>\$300k<br>(maintenance) |
|                                   | Total: N/A  |                                   | Total: \$1.36M (Y2)                              |   |

19

## Putting it all together

4460

Developing a vision for the future of on-demand transit at MARTA



## **On-demand can work at MARTA**

- However, on-demand is <u>not</u> a one-size fits all.
- To work as a first/last mile solution on-demand must be a part of the broader public transportation network:
  - The service must connect to other transit services that are **highly frequent and reliable.**
- On-demand can also work for local trips given the community demographics and needs:
  - For this the service should connect to key community points of interest (e.g., schools, hospitals, grocery stores, major employers, or other key POIs)
  - The service should also connect to "trip drivers" or key centers of trip generations, like **housing centers** (esp. those with high % of car-free households) or **areas with high job density**

Community Circulation



## **Recommendations for the NextGen Bus Project**

- 1. Evaluate the potential use-cases for on-demand:
  - Deliver service in the places where there is limited service available today
  - Address underperforming fixed bus route routes with on-demand transit
  - Provide a solution to the first-mile/last-mile problem
  - Create community circulators to connect potential riders to POIs
- 2. In each location determine which use-case we're addressing
  - Do the zone demographics, land-use, overlapping fixed route transit, and other factors support the use-case?

#### 3. Ensure that on-demand is a good fit operationally

 Do the expected passengers per vehicle hour work with on-demand (i.e., 4-8 p/vh)?



## **Recommendations for the NextGen Bus Project (cont.)**

23

- 4. Plan cost assumptions based on a MARTA-operated model
  - Incorporate cost assumptions of MARTA operated model into NextGen Bus Project
  - Develop an RFP for on-demand software services
  - Begin developing an operational plan for new mode of MARTA Bus Operations



## **Recommendations for MARTA Operations**

- Start commingling ADA and non-ADA trips on on-demand service
  - There is potential to improve paratransit operations by commingling ADA and non-ADA trips (allowing paratransit patrons to access same day booking)





## **Reach Next Steps**





### Thank you!

### More information at <u>www.itsmarta.com/reach.aspx</u>

Email us at <u>reach@itsmarta.com</u>

Anthony Thomas Program Manager, Customer Experience Innovation athomas5@itsmarta.com